



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                       | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/727,290                 | 09/727,290 11/29/2000 |            | John C. Goodwin III  | 9120.00                 | 6321             |  |
| 26884                      | 7590                  | 05/25/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| PAUL W. I                  |                       | •          | ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I  |                         |                  |  |
| LAW DEPA                   |                       |            | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| DAYTON, OH 45479-0001      |                       |            |                      | 2674                    | 12               |  |
|                            |                       |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004 | 13               |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 09/727,290   | GOODWIN III ET AL  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Abbas I Abdulselam   | 2674   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M   | arch 2004.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar<br>closed in accordance with the practice under E   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | _  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | •  | ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   | ammer. Note the attached Office  | 7.00,011 01 1011111 1 0 102.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a   | n)-(d) or (f)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive  | on Noed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |  |
| since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro  | st sentence of the specification or  | in an Application Data Sheet.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the  | c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120  | and/or 121 since a specific  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice of Informal P  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) eatent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 0n 03/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited references Waters et al. (USPN 6256046), Christian et al. (USPN 6163822) and Favalora et al. (USPN 6512498) do not teach "attracting attention of people in order to persuade them to use the kiosk by displaying more distinctive information after sensing the people pass within a predetermined distance of the kiosk". However, as shown in the art rejection below, Favalora teaches a display (10) equipped with a sensor (48) such that the display (10) can be activated upon the approach of a viewer to attract the viewer's attention then deactivated upon the viewer's departure. See col. 5, lines 40-53. In addition, Favalora teaches that volumetric display (10) as including a motion sensor (48) to cause the display (10) to be responsive to the presence or motion of a viewer, and then provide information to the illumination controller (26), which in turn generates eye catching visual effects. See col. 3, lines 45-48, col. 4, lines 58-67 and Fig. 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2674

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waters et al. (USPN 6256046) in view Christian et al. (USPN 6163822) and Favalora et al. (USPN 6512498).

Regarding claims 1-6, Waters teaches a Kiosk (50) including a memory/processor (120), a display screen (105) and the activity detection module (125), which detects the presence and movement of users in interaction spaces (75, 80). Waters teaches the use of cameras (60, 65,70) allowing the kiosk (50) to detect the presence of the users fifty feet from the kiosk environment. Waters the activity detection module (125) through the activity detection program, which determines whether there is a user, or not in the interaction space (75). See Fig 2, Fig 3, column 3, lines lines 3-52 and column 4, lines 19-41.

In addition, Waters teaches the behavior module (25) with respect to proximity visual detection (col. 5, lines 55), and discloses that kiosk (50) includes a visual sensing module (15), which uses a number of computer vision techniques as well as a display screen (115) for visual output. See Fig. 3 and col. 3, lines 17-24.

However, Waters does not teach displaying first information within the elapsed time, which is an interval from sensing of a person until the actual use of a kiosk by a user. Christian on the other hand a processing device (36) processing a synchronous command with some parameter such as numerical number, causing the device to suspend a processing of any subsequent commands until a time period corresponding to the numerical value has elapsed. Moreover, Christian teaches a video camera (16), which is, positioned such that the presence or absence of a human (22) or other object can be detected within the vicinity of the kiosk. See col. 2, lines 55-62 and col.3, lines 55-67.

Art Unit: 2674

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having a skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify waters' Kiosk system to include Christian's use of processing device (36) including a numerical parameter for elapsed time along with detection system of a human (22) within the vicinity of the kiosk (10). One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Christian that the detection system as configured in Fig. 1 and processing device (36) as configured in Fig. 2 equivalently provide the desired displaying of information until the actual use of a kiosk. The use of processing device and detection technique helps function a kiosk system more effectively as taught by Christian.

Furthermore, Christian teaches a processing device (36), which can process data received from the touch screen monitor (14). Christian also discloses that the processing of input data as well as generation of the output data are implemented by software programs in the processing device. See col. 4, lines 42-48. It would have been obvious to utilize Christian's software for the purpose of displaying some information in less distinctive way than the others.

Waters also does not disclose "displaying to attract attention of the person to the kiosk and to attempt to persuade the person to approach and use the kiosk." Favalora on the other hand teaches that a display (10) equipped with a sensor (48) such that the display (10) can be activated upon the approach of a viewer to attract the viewer's attention then deactivated upon the viewer's departure. See col. 5, lines 40-53.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Waters' kiosk display system to adapt Favalora's techniques of activation and deactivation of the display (10). One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Favalora that the activation of sensor (48) equipped display (10) equivalently

Art Unit: 2674

provides the desired display intended to attract the attention of a person nearby a kiosk. The use of activating display (10) helps function-advertising kiosks or other public displays as taught by Favalora.

Regarding claims 2-6 in addition to what has been discussed above, Christian teaches a processing device (36) including the numerical parameter for elapsed time. It would have been obvious to set the numerical parameter until the time that the persons in no longer within a predetermined area.

Regarding claim 8, in addition to what has been discussed above, Christian teaches the use of pair of speakers (20), positioned such that an audio signal transmitted from a pair of speakers and can be heard by a human (22) within the vicinity of the kiosk. See col. 4, lines 1-7.

Regarding claim 7, Waters teaches the use of cameras (60, 70) in the kiosk system. See Fig. 2.

#### Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2674

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Abbas Abdulselam whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

May 21, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER

di Wh